

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | 1 |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| 10/047,873 | 01/14/2002 | A. Russell Schindler | RTI 0102 PUS | 5922 | |
| 75 | 90 05/19/2003 | | | | |
| John S. Artz Suite 250 28333 Telegraph Road | | | EXAMINER | | 2 |
| | | | UPTON, CHRISTOPHER | | |
| Southfield, MI 48034 | | | | | |
| , | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1724 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | Application No. 047873 | Applicant(s) Sehindley | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| —————————————————————————————————————— | Examiner | Group Art Unit | | | | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address- | | | | | | |
| P riod for Reply | 7 | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | EXPIRE | MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 16 NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenth and period by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | bly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to | imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. | secution as to the merits is closed in | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| P Claim(s) [-26 | is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| Of the above claim(s) | | | | | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| © Claim(s) 1 - 26 | is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | are subject to restriction or election | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | requirement | | | | |
| \Box The proposed drawing correction, filed on is \Box approved \Box disapproved. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | | | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und | der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- | -(d). | | | | |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: | | | | | | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received | | | | | | |
| in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |

Office Acti n Summary

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R vi w, PTO-948

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

Part of Paper No.

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

□ Oth r_

☐ Notice f Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Art Unit 1724

1. Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 lacks antecedent basis for the manifold. In claim 25, it appears that "tubular" should be "tube."

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-13, 15, 17-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Breslin.

Breslin discloses a system for recovering free product from groundwater comprising an extraction tube on a float, which provides depth adjustment, coupled to a vacuum source, which may be used in a monitoring well (see column 12, lines

40-45) and uses a pressure monitoring system to monitor the free product, as claimed. With respect to the recitation of multiple units and a manifold, it is submitted that the disclosure of multiple units operating from a single vacuum source (column 13, lines 10-13) requires such a manifold.

4. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Croy or Bzorgi.

Croy and Bzorgi each disclose suction extraction devices for removing free product from a well, with float type depth adjustment means, as claimed.

5. Claims 2 and 8-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Croy in view of Billings et al or Ellis.

Claims 2, 8-15 and 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bzorgi in view of Billings et al or Ellis.

Claims 2, 9, 20 and their dependent claims differ from Croy and Bzorgi in recitation of the use of plural units connected to a manifold and monitoring wells. It is well known to use multiple treatment wells, connected to a manifold, and to use monitoring wells, as exemplified by Billings and Ellis (see figure 1 of Ellis and figures 2 and 13-32 of Billings). It would therefore have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use multiple wells in the systems of Croy and Bzorgi, depending on the extent of the contamination, and to use monitoring wells to determine the extent of the contamination and the amount of free product remaining.

Serial No. 047873

Art Unit 1724

With respect to claim 16, it is submitted that a window for monitoring is disclosed by Croy as reference numeral 90.

Claim 8 differs from Croy and Bzorgi in recitation of adding a source of oxygen to remediate the groundwater. It is submitted that Billings and Ellis disclose vapor extraction along with addition of oxygen to remediate the groundwater. It is therefore submitted that the addition of oxygen to remediate the groundwater of Croy and Bzorgi would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, to remove any contaminant dissolved in the groundwater.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Other references of interest include Udell, Gorelick, Caplan, Grant, Mohs and Rudder.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Christopher Upton at telephone number (703) 308-3741.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER